

Appl. No. : 10/790,666
Filed : March 1, 2004

REMARKS

In response to the Office Action dated March 23, 2005, please reconsider the above-referenced application in light of the above amendments and below remarks.

Specification

The Examiner rejected to the Abstract. Applicant has amended the Abstract as indicated above and as reflected in the enclosed amended Abstract, which is provided on a separate page.

Double Patenting

Applicant has amended Claim 1. Applicant submits that the double patenting rejection is no longer applicable. Applicant submits that Claim 1 is in condition for allowance.

CONCLUSION


For the foregoing reasons, it is respectfully submitted that the rejections set forth in the outstanding Office Action are inapplicable to the present claims and specification. Accordingly, early issuance of a Notice of Allowance is most earnestly solicited.

The undersigned has made a good faith effort to respond to all of the rejections in the case and to place the claims in condition for immediate allowance. Nevertheless, if any undeveloped issues remain or if any issues require clarification, the Examiner is respectfully requested to call Applicant's attorney in order to resolve such issue promptly. Please charge any additional fees, including any fees for additional extension of time, or credit overpayment to Deposit Account No. 11-1410.

Respectfully submitted,

KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP

Dated: 9-23-05

By: 
Rabinder N. Narula
Registration No. 53,371
Attorney of Record
Customer No. 20,995
(949) 760-0404